

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMASSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1850 Alexandry, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION N | О. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/488,337 | | 01/20/2000 | Evgeniy M. Getsin | IACTP010 | 4283 |
| 22242 | 75 | 10/19/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| FITCH E | EVEN | TABIN AND FLAI | AVELLINO, JOSEPH E | | |
| 120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET SUITE 1600 | | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| CHICAG | CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406 | | | 2143 | - |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summany | 09/488,337 | GETSIN ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Joseph E. Avellino | 2143 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u> | ugust 2005. | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed: 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed: Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-24 are presented for examination; claims 1, 7, 13, and 19 independent.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 8, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts et al. (hereinafter Roberts) (USPN 6,161,132) in view of Kisor (USPN 5,809,250).

3. Referring to claims 1, 7, and 13, Roberts discloses a method for storing synchronization information for subsequent playback of an event on a plurality of client apparatuses, comprising the steps of:

Application/Control Number: 09/488,337

Art Unit: 2143

providing an event stored in memory on at least one of the client apparatuses, wherein the client apparatuses and a host computer (server) are adapted to be connected to a network (Internet) (col. 7, line 30 to col. 8, line 2);

storing information on the host computer for allowing the simultaneous playback of the event from the memory on each of the client apparatuses (col. 7, line 30 to col. 8, line 2);

Roberts does not disclose storing content and timing information transmitted during the simultaneous playback of the event at the host computer, and allowing the content and timing information to be downloaded utilizing the network for playback of said event and said downloaded content and timing information after the simultaneous playback. In analogous art, Kisor discloses another method for storing synchronization information comprising the steps of:

storing content (i.e. URL protocol call fields) and timing information (i.e. timestamps) transmitted during the simultaneous playback of the event at the host computer (col. 5, lines 25-67; col. 6, lines 35-55); and

allowing the content and timing information to be downloaded utilizing the network for playback of said event and said downloaded content and timing information after the simultaneous playback (col. 5, lines 4-8; col. 9, lines 1-15).

It would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Kisor with Roberts to allow the attendee to experience the presentation again, in order to more fully absorb the content, as well as to allow someone who was unable to attend the presentation to allow the viewer to

Art Unit: 2143

share the browsing session to others who are remote geographically, temporally, or both as supported by Kisor (col. 1, lines 60-61).

Page 4

- 4. As to claims 2, 8, and 14, Roberts discloses the invention substantially as discussed in the claim 1 rejection, including the event includes a video and audio presentation (col. 2, lines 5-26).
- 5. As to claims 3, 9, and 15, Roberts discloses a method for storing synchronization information as stated above. Roberts does not disclose the information includes a history and data associated with the simultaneous playback. Kisor discloses the information includes a history and data associated with the simultaneous playback (Figure 1b, ref. 170). It would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Kisor with Roberts to allow the attendee to experience the presentation again, in order to more fully absorb the content, as well as to allow someone who was unable to attend the presentation to allow the viewer to share the browsing session to others who are remote geographically, temporally, or both as supported by Kisor (col. 1, lines 60-61).
- 6. As to claims 4, 10, and 16, Roberts-Kisor discloses the invention substantially as discussed in the claim 1 rejection, including the network is a wide area network (Roberts, col. 1, lines 57-61). The Office takes the Internet to be synonymous with a wide area network.

Application/Control Number: 09/488,337 Page 5

Art Unit: 2143

7. As to claims 5, 11, and 17, Roberts-Kisor discloses the invention substantially as discussed in the claim 1 rejection, including the memory includes a digital video disc

(DVD) (Roberts, col. 2, lines 5-18).

8. As to claims 6, 12, and 18, Roberts-Kisor discloses the invention substantially as discussed in the claim 1 rejection, including the information includes chapter information associated with the DVD (Roberts, col. 4, lines 1-20). The term "track" can be considered equivalent to a chapter on a DVD since DVD movies are segmented into

9. Claims 19-24 are rejected for similar reasons as stated above.

chapters such as audio CD's are segmented into audio tracks.

Response to Amendment

- 10. The affidavit filed on August 8, 2005 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Rust reference. However a new rejection has been formulated.
- 11. The Office has considered the amendment to claim 13 with regards to non-tangible subject matter. The rejection is withdrawn.
- 12. All other arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2143

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph E. Avellino whose telephone number is (571) 272-3905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JEA

September 10, 2005

WILLIAM C. VAUGHN, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER